

## **Peritoneal Dialysis Society of India Peritonitis Guidelines – Consensus statement - 2019**

### **FOR FEEDBACK AND COMMENTS**

#### **Abstract**

Peritoneal dialysis related peritonitis is a major cause of technique failure, morbidity and mortality in patients on peritoneal dialysis (PD). Its prevention and management is key to success of PD program. Because of variability in practice, microbiological trends and sensitivity towards antibiotics, there is a need for customized guideline for management of PD related peritonitis (PDRP) in India. With this need, Peritoneal Dialysis Society of India (PDSI) organized a structured meeting to discuss various aspects of management of PDRP and formulated a consensus agreement which will help in management of PDRP.

**Key Words:** Peritoneal dialysis, peritoneal dialysis related peritonitis, guidelines

## **Introduction**

It has been observed that the practice patterns of management of peritoneal dialysis related peritonitis (PDRP) is highly variable in India. Our culture positive rates are also variable and mostly below the recommendations (1). We know that microbiological information is critical in optimal management and is determinant of clinical outcome. A working group with representation from all zones of the country came together to formulate guidelines for treatment of PDRP after review of literature and exhaustive debate on the subject.

The Peritoneal Dialysis Society of India guideline for treatment of peritoneal dialysis related peritonitis is intended to help practitioners in decision making in treatment of PDRP. It does not define a standard of care of PDRP and the group acknowledges the variations in practice based on individual patients' needs, available resources, and limitations faced by clinicians. The working group also acknowledges the lack of high quality evidence on this issue from our country and hence the guideline is based on recommendations of International Society of Peritoneal dialysis (ISPD) (2) with modifications suitable for India.

## **Nomenclature and Description for rating guideline recommendations**

We have used the terminology similar to Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) guidelines (Table 1). In view of paucity of literature from India, further subdivision into A, B, C and D is avoided.

## **Summary of Recommendations and Suggestions**

Peritoneal dialysis related peritonitis (PDRP) is the most important and preventable cause of morbidity and mortality in peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients. High peritonitis rates can be a

severe setback to any PD program (3). Keys to successful PD program are dedicated team, appropriate training of patient or care giver, preventive measures, appropriate culture methods, appropriate empiric antibiotics, preservation of peritoneum and periodic auditing. Selection of patient is also important, as utilization of PD as a last resort after failure of other modalities have compromised outcomes.

This guideline is aimed to serve as a quick recap in the management of PDRP and is based on evidence-based recommendations, International Society of Peritoneal Dialysis guidelines for peritonitis, suggestions and expert consensus statements available in literature.

## **Overview of the guidelines**

### **Prevention of PDRP**

- We recommend that systemic prophylactic antibiotic should be given prior to catheter insertion.
- We recommend that the disconnect system with 'flush before fill' bags should be used for continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD).
- We recommend that PD training should be conducted by a qualified nurse, preferably at the center, and reviewed for each patient by the nephrologist before certified to be complete.
- We suggest that prophylactic antibiotic should be given to all PD patients before any invasive procedure like dental, gynecological or intestinal.
- We recommend that topical antibiotic cream or ointment should be applied to the catheter exit site daily after bath.

- We recommend that catheter exit site or tunnel infections should be treated adequately so as to prevent subsequent peritonitis.
- We recommend that antifungal prophylaxis should be suggested whenever antibiotics are given to a PD patient to decrease fungal peritonitis.

### **Initial presentation and management of peritonitis**

- We recommend that peritonitis should be diagnosed when at least 2 of the three features are present: clinical features consistent with peritonitis like abdominal pain, cloudy dialysis effluent; dialysis effluent white cell count  $> 100/\mu\text{L}$  (after a dwell time of at least 2 hours), with  $> 50\%$  polymorphonuclear leucocytes; and positive dialysis effluent culture.
- We recommend that all cloudy effluent should be considered peritonitis and treated accordingly till excluded.
- We suggest sending the entire bag to the microbiology laboratory for analysis.
- We recommend that PD effluent, when suspected of peritonitis, should be tested for cell count, differential, Gram stain, and culture.
- We suggest initial testing for bacterial and fungal and if possible, suspected, or in non responding cases for mycobacterial cultures.

### **Empiric Antibiotic selection**

- We recommend that empiric antibiotic should be started as soon as possible when peritonitis is suspected, preferably after sending effluent for testing.

- We recommend that the choice of empiric antibiotic should be to cover both Gram positive and negative organism and better guided by local antibiogram.
- We recommend that Gram positive organism should be covered by Vancomycin and Gram negative by Piperacillin-Tazobactam or Aminoglycoside, unless local antibiogram suggest cephalosporin susceptibility.
- We recommend that preferred route of antibiotic administration should be intra-peritoneal (IP), unless there is evidence of severe systemic sepsis.
- We recommend that antibiotic should be deescalated once the antibiotic sensitivity pattern is available.
- We recommend that PD catheter should be removed in cases of refractory peritonitis, defined by failure of the PD effluent to clear up after 5 days of appropriate antibiotics.
- We suggest that coagulase-negative Staphylococci should be treated for 2 weeks with appropriate antibiotics.
- We suggest Enterococcal peritonitis should be treated for 3 weeks. We also suggest adding an Aminoglycosides for severe infection. For Vancomycin Resistant Enterococci (VRE), we suggest 3 weeks of IP Ampicillin if it is sensitive or Linezolid, Daptomycin or Teicoplanin as per sensitivity, if ampicillin resistant.
- We suggest that Streptococcal peritonitis should be treated for 2 weeks.
- We suggest that Staphylococcus aureus peritonitis should be treated for 3 weeks.
- We suggest that Corynebacterial peritonitis should be treated for 3 weeks.
- We suggest that Pseudomonas peritonitis should be treated for 3 weeks with 2 susceptible antibiotics.

- We suggest that non Pseudomonas Gram negative peritonitis should be treated for 3 weeks.
- We suggest that peritonitis associated with exit site and/or tunnel infection should be managed with catheter removal.
- We suggest that polymicrobial Gram negative peritonitis should be managed with surgical evaluation and antibiotics for 3 weeks.
- We suggest that culture negative peritonitis, if responding within 3 days, should be treated assuming CONS, for 2 weeks. If no response, special culture techniques should be resorted to.
- We suggest that catheter should be removal for fungal peritonitis and anti-fungals to be given for 2 weeks.
- We suggest that Tuberculous peritonitis should be treated appropriately with anti-tuberculous drugs and catheter removal may be individualized.

### **Catheter removal and re-insertion**

- We recommend that PD catheter should be removed for refractory, relapsing and fungal peritonitis.
- We suggest that re-insertion of catheter can be considered after 2-4 weeks of bacterial and 4-6 weeks of fungal peritonitis and complete resolution of peritoneal symptoms.
- We recommend that each PD center should have a continuous quality improvement (CQI) program to reduce the rates of peritonitis.

## **Guidelines for PD related Peritonitis**

### **Prevention of PDRP**

- We recommend that systemic prophylactic antibiotic should be given prior to catheter insertion.

Every center should determine the choice of antibiotic as per their spectrum of sensitivity.

Three randomized controlled trials (RCTs) showed reduction in early peritonitis with use of perioperative antibiotic (4-6). One trial showed no benefit (7). Systematic review of these trials shows benefit of prophylactic antibiotic (8).

- We recommend that the disconnect system with 'flush before fill' bags should be used for continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD).

The risk of developing peritonitis is reduced to 1/3<sup>rd</sup> with the use of Y system (9-10). It also shows that there is no difference between the double bag or the Y system. There are conflicting results of comparison of peritonitis rates between CAPD and APD.

- We recommend that PD training should be conducted by a qualified nurse, preferably at the center, and reviewed for each patient by the nephrologist before certified to be complete.

Training has great influence on incidence of peritonitis (11-22) and it is suggested that retraining should be done periodically and after each episode of peritonitis (17, 19).

- We suggest that prophylactic antibiotic should be given to all PD patients before any invasive procedure like dental, gynecological or intestinal.

Invasive procedure like colonoscopy has been shown to increase the risk of peritonitis (23). Prophylactic antibiotic before an invasive procedure except upper gastroscopy, reduces the risk of peritonitis (24). However, the choice of prophylactic antibiotic has not been studied and is left to the discretion of local physician.

- We recommend that topical antibiotic cream or ointment should be applied to the catheter exit site daily after bath.
- We recommend that catheter exit site or tunnel infections should be treated adequately so as to prevent subsequent peritonitis.

There is an association between exit site infection (ESI) and subsequent peritonitis and hence appropriate management will reduce the risk of peritonitis (25-27). Though one of the systematic review did not show benefit of topical povidone-iodine in reducing peritonitis (28), another meta-analysis showed that topical mupirocin reduced rates of *S. aureus* infection by 70% and peritonitis by 40% (29). Mupirocin resistance is of concern but is reported particularly with intermittent rather than daily use (30-34).



- We recommend that antifungal prophylaxis should be suggested whenever antibiotics are given to a PD patient to decrease fungal peritonitis. Antifungal prophylaxis should be continued for a week beyond antibiotics.

Fungal peritonitis is increased after antibiotic courses (35-37). Two randomized trial (38-39) and a systematic review (8) showed benefit of prophylactic anti-fungals during antibiotic course in preventing subsequent fungal peritonitis.

### **Initial presentation and management of peritonitis**

- We recommend that peritonitis should be diagnosed when at least 2 of the three features are present: clinical features consistent with peritonitis like abdominal pain, cloudy dialysis effluent; dialysis effluent white cell count  $> 100/\mu\text{L}$  (after a dwell time of at least 2 hours), with  $> 50\%$  polymorphonuclear leucocytes; and positive dialysis effluent culture.
- We recommend that all cloudy effluent should be considered peritonitis and treated accordingly till excluded.
- We recommend that PD effluent, when suspected of peritonitis, should be tested for cell count, differential, Gram stain, and culture.

Cloudy effluent should be treated as peritonitis unless proven otherwise. There are non infectious causes of cloudy effluent which should be considered in non classical presentations (Table 2) (40). Patients presenting with abdominal pain should also be evaluated for peritonitis even when effluent is clear.

When peritonitis is suspected, dialysis effluent should be drained, inspected for cloudiness, and sent for cell count with differential, Gram stain, and culture (41). An effluent cell count with white blood cells (WBC)  $> 100/\mu\text{L}$  (after a dwell time of at least 2 hours), with  $> 50\%$  PMN, is highly suggestive of peritonitis (42). Appropriate antibiotic therapy (see below) should be initiated once the dialysis effluent specimens have been collected for analysis, without waiting for the results of laboratory testing. For patients on APD, percentage of PMN rather than the absolute WBC count should be used to diagnose peritonitis, and a proportion above 50% PMN is strong evidence of peritonitis, even if the absolute WBC count is less than  $100/\mu\text{L}$  (42).

For patients at remote areas, they can keep the effluent bag refrigerated till they bring the bag for analysis and start intra peritoneal antibiotics as soon as possible. If possible, specimen should be processed within 6 hours of collection. Alternatively, they can send the effluent for analysis at local center or, if trained and available can inoculate into blood culture bottles provided to them. The inoculated culture bottles should be incubated at  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

Gram stain of PD effluent should be performed, preferably after centrifugation. Appropriate culture method is a key to positive results. After collection, 50 ml of effluent should be centrifuged at 3000 g for 15 minutes, followed by resuspension of the sediment in 3-5 ml supernatant and inoculation on solid culture media or standard blood culture media. If cultures remain negative after 3-5 days, PD effluent should be sent for repeat cell count, fungal and mycobacterial cultures.

A number of novel diagnostic techniques have been explored for the early diagnosis of peritonitis, including leukocyte esterase reagent strips, biomarker assays (matrix metalloproteinase-8 and -9, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin and procalcitonin),

polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for bacterial-derived DNA fragments, 16S rRNA gene sequencing, matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight (MALDI-TOF), and pathogen-specific “immune fingerprints” (43-55). However, none of them has been proved to be superior to conventional culture techniques.

### **Empiric Antibiotic selection**

- We recommend that empiric antibiotic should be started as soon as possible when peritonitis is suspected, preferably after sending effluent for testing.
- We recommend that the choice of empiric antibiotic should be to cover both Gram positive and negative organism and better guided by local antibiogram.
- We recommend that Gram positive organism should be covered by Vancomycin and Gram negative by Piperacillin-Tazobactam or Aminoglycosides unless local antibiogram suggest cephalosporin susceptibility.

In the recent data analysis, gram positive organisms are more commonly encountered across the country but almost close to gram negative organisms (2). However, center wise difference also been noted. It is suggested to start with antibiotics covering for both positive and negative organisms. In a meta analysis (56), the combination of a glycopeptide (vancomycin or teicoplanin) and ceftazidime was superior to other regimens. Cefepime or imipenem/cilastatin can be used as monotherapy. Once the culture results are available, antibiotics can be adjusted and deescalated to avoid future antibiotic resistance.

- We recommend that preferred route of antibiotic administration should be intraperitoneal (IP), unless there is evidence of severe systemic sepsis.
- We recommend that antibiotic should be deescalated once the antibiotic sensitivity pattern is available.

Intraperitoneal dosing results in high IP drug levels and is preferable to IV administration.

Intraperitoneal antibiotics can be given as continuous (in each exchange) or intermittent dosing (once daily) (56-61). In intermittent dosing, the antibiotic-containing dialysis solution must be allowed to dwell for at least 6 hours to allow adequate absorption. The role of monitoring serum vancomycin levels is controversial (62-63). In general, a dosing interval of every 4 to 5 days would keep serum trough levels above 15 µg/mL, but there is substantial inter-individual variability (64-65). Re-dosing is probably appropriate when serum vancomycin levels are below 15 µg/mL (65-67). There is no firm evidence that monitoring aminoglycoside levels mitigates toxicity risk or enhances efficacy (67).

Antibiotic dosing in APD is of concern because of rapid exchanges. However, intermittent dosing given at long day dwell is effective. Alternatively, if possible, patients may switch to CAPD till completion of treatment. The recommended dosage of antibiotics for the treatment of PD related peritonitis is summarized in Table 3 and 4 (68-122).

- We recommend that PD catheter should be removed in cases of refractory peritonitis, defined by failure of the PD effluent to clear up after 5 days of appropriate antibiotics.

Refractory peritonitis is defined as failure of the PD effluent to clear up after 5 days of appropriate antibiotics. If there is failure to respond to empiric antibiotic in culture negative or to susceptible antibiotic in culture positive peritonitis in 3 days, a trial of higher / susceptible antibiotic is recommended for another 2 days before labelling it as refractory. Catheter removal is indicated in cases of refractory peritonitis. Delay in catheter removal leads to extended hospital stay, peritoneal membrane damage, increased risk of fungal peritonitis and excessive mortality (123). Catheter should also be removed if patient's condition is deteriorating.

- We suggest that coagulase-negative Staphylococci should be treated for 2 weeks with appropriate antibiotics.

CONS is mostly due to touch contamination. Intraperitoneal vancomycin or cephalosporins can be advised for 2 weeks. Relapsing CONS peritonitis suggests colonization and bio-film formation, when catheter removal may be considered.

- We suggest Enterococcal peritonitis should be treated for 3 weeks. We also suggest adding an Aminoglycosides for severe infection. For Vancomycin Resistant Enterococci (VRE), we suggest 3 weeks of IP Ampicillin if it is sensitive or Linezolid, Daptomycin or Teicoplanin as per sensitivity, if ampicillin resistant.

Enterococci infection suggests intra abdominal source of infection. Identification of species is important as many are resistant to penicillins and carbapenems.

- We suggest that Streptococcal peritonitis should be treated for 2 weeks.

Streptococci frequently originate from the mouth (124) although *S bovis* comes from colon (125). Viridans streptococci are more likely to be refractory.

- We suggest that *Staphylococcus aureus* peritonitis should be treated for 3 weeks.

*S aureus* is often secondary to touch contamination, or exit site or tunnel infection. Data suggests 3 weeks treatment (126-127) with appropriate antibiotic. Concomitant exit site or tunnel infection may need catheter removal.

- We suggest that Corynebacterial peritonitis should be treated for 3 weeks.
- We suggest that *Pseudomonas* peritonitis should be treated for 3 weeks with 2 susceptible antibiotics.

The outcome is reported to be better with 2 anti-pseudomonal antibiotics (128).

- We suggest that non *Pseudomonas* Gram negative peritonitis should be treated for 3 weeks.
- We suggest that peritonitis associated with exit site and/or tunnel infection should be managed with catheter removal.

- We suggest that polymicrobial Gram negative peritonitis should be managed with surgical evaluation and antibiotics for 3 weeks.

When multiple enteric organisms are isolated, intra-abdominal pathology is a possibility and should be evaluated. The choice of antibiotic becomes metronidazole with vancomycin with cephalosporin or aminoglycoside. Carbapenems or piperacillin/tazobactam are an alternative.

- We suggest that culture negative peritonitis, if responding within 3 days, should be treated assuming CONS, for 2 weeks. If no response, special culture techniques should be resorted to.

Inappropriate culture technique is the commonest cause of 'culture negative' peritonitis.

Recent antibiotic usage also leads to culture negative peritonitis. Predominantly, these are due to gram positive organisms and hence, if responded within 3 days, should be managed for 2 weeks (129-131).

- We suggest that catheter should be removal for fungal peritonitis and anti-fungals to be given for 2 weeks.

Fungal peritonitis is associated with higher rates of hospitalization, catheter removal, transfer to hemodialysis, and death (132-135). Catheter removal is suggested once diagnosis is confirmed to reduce mortality and preserve the peritoneum. Anti-fungal agents are

continued for 2 weeks after catheter removal. The choice of anti-fungals are a combination of amphotericin B and flucytosine. However, IP amphotericin causes chemical peritonitis and IV has poor peritoneal bioavailability. Flucytosine is not widely available. Other agents include fluconazole (for Candida and cryptococcus), echinocandin (for Aspergillus and non albicans Candida), posconazole, and voriconazole (for filamentous fungi).

- We suggest that Tuberculous peritonitis should be treated appropriately with anti-tuberculous drugs and catheter removal may be individualized.

Patient with refractory or relapsing peritonitis with negative bacterial cultures should be suspected of tuberculous peritonitis. Routine testing for tuberculosis like Ziehl Neelsen stain or conventional culture are not sufficiently sensitive. Culture in fluid medium like MGIT or BactAlert or mycobacterial DNA PCR (Gene Xpert) can be better in diagnosing tuberculous peritonitis. Laproscopic peritoneal or omental biopsy can be diagnostic in suspicious cases (136). Catheter removal is not mandatory and is individualized if patient is sick or non responding.

#### **Catheter removal and re-insertion**

- We recommend that PD catheter should be removed for refractory, relapsing and fungal peritonitis.
- We suggest that re-insertion of catheter can be considered after 2-4 weeks of bacterial and 4-6 weeks of fungal peritonitis and complete resolution of peritoneal symptoms.



- We recommend that each PD center should have a continuous quality improvement (CQI) program to reduce the rates of peritonitis. Satellite centers may strengthen the patient management and the PD program.

## References

1. Abraham G, Gupta A, Prasad KN, Rohit A, Billa V, Chakravarthy R, Das T, Dhinakaran T, Dutta AR, Giri P, Gokulnath, Jeloka T, Jha S, Kumar S, Majumdar A, Marwaha A, Prakash S, Raghavan RV, Rajaram KG. Microbiology, Clinical Spectrum and Outcome of Peritonitis in Patients Undergoing Peritoneal Dialysis in India: Results from a Multicentric, Observational Study. *J Trop Dis* 2016; 4 (3): 1-8.
2. Li PKT, Szeto CC, Piraino B, de Arteaga J, Fan S, Figueiredo AE, Fish DN, Goffin E, Kim YL, Salzer W, Struijk DG, Teitelbaum I, Johnson DW. ISPD peritonitis recommendations: 2016 update on prevention and treatment. *Perit Dial Int* 2016; 36(5): 481-508
3. Abraham G, Thiagarajan T, Mathew M. Prevention of peritoneal dialysis related infections as a means to prevent dropout. *Indian J Nephrol* 2005; 15(2): S10-13.
4. Wikdahl AM, Engman U, Stegmayr BG, One-dose cefuroxime IN+V and IP reduces microbial f=growth in PD patients after cahter insertion. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1997; 12: 157-160.
5. Bennet-Jones DN, Martin JB, Barratt AJ, Duffy TJ, Naish PF, Aber GM. Prophylactic gentamicin in the prevention of early exit-site infections and peritonitis in CAPD. *Adv Perit Dial* 1988; 4:147–50.
6. Gadallah MF, Ramdeen G, Mignone J, Patel D, Mitchell L, Tatro S. Role of preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis in preventing postoperative perito-nitis in newly placed peritoneal dialysis catheters. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2000; 36:1014–9.
7. Lye WC, Lee EJ, Tan CC. Prophylactic antibiotics in the insertion of Tenck-hoff catheters. *Scand J Urol Nephrol* 1992; 26:177–80.

8. Strippoli GFM, Tong A, Johnson D, Schena FP, Craig JC. Antimicrobial agents to prevent peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2004; 44:591–603.
9. Strippoli GF, Tong A, Johnson D, Schena FP, Craig JC. Catheter-related interventions to prevent peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis: a system-atic review of randomized, controlled trials. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2004; 15:2735–46.
10. Daly C, Cody JD, Khan I, Rabindranath KS, Vale L, Wallace SA. Double bag or Y-set versus standard transfer systems for continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis in end-stage kidney disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014; 8:CD003078.
11. Figueiredo AE, Bernardini J, Bowes E, Hiramatsu M, Price V, Su C, et al. ISPD guideline / recommendations: a syllabus for teaching peritoneal dialysis to patients and caregivers. *Perit Dial Int* 2016. doi.10.3747/pdi.2015.00277 (Epub ahead of print.)
12. Bernardini J, Price V, Figueiredo A. Peritoneal dialysis patient training, 2006. *Perit Dial Int* 2006; 26:625–32.
13. Bender FH, Bernardini J, Piraino B. Prevention of infectious complica-tions in peritoneal dialysis: best demonstrated practices. *Kidney Int Suppl* 2006: 103:S44–54.
14. Hall G, Bogan A, Dreis S, Duffy A, Greene S, Kelley K, et al. New directions in peritoneal dialysis patient training. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2004; 31:149–63.
15. Holloway M, Mujais S, Kandert M, Warady BA. Pediatric peritoneal dialysis training: characteristics and impact on peritonitis rates. *Perit Dial Int* 2001; 21:401–4.
16. Chow KM, Szeto CC, Law MC, Fung JS, Li PK. Influence of peritoneal dialysis training nurses' experience on peritonitis rates. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2007; 2:647–52.

17. Russo R, Manili L, Tiraboschi G, Amar K, De Luca M, Alberghini E, et al. Patient re-training in peritoneal dialysis: why and when it is needed. *Kidney Int Suppl* 2006; 103:S127–32.
18. Ballerini L, Paris V. Nosogogy: when the learner is a patient with chronic kidney failure. *Kid Int* 2006; 70:S122–6.
19. Arndt J. From compliance and false memory. *J Exp Psych* 2010; 36:66–9.
20. Bordin G, Cassati M, Sicolo N, Zuccherato N, Eduati V. Patient education in peritoneal dialysis: an observational study in Italy. *J Ren Care* 2007; 33:165–71.
21. Dong J, Chen Y. Impact of the bag exchange procedure on risk of peri-tonitis. *Perit Dial Int* 2010; 30:440–7.
22. Zhang L, Hawley CM, Johnson DW. Focus on peritoneal dialysis training: working to decrease peritonitis rates. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2016; 31:214–22.
23. Yip T, Tse KC, Lam MJ, Cheng SW, Lui SL, Tang S, et al. Risks and outcomes of peritonitis after flexible colonoscopy in CAPD patients. *Perit Dial Int* 2007; 27:560–4.
24. Wu HH, Li IJ, Weng CH, Lee CC, Chen YC, Chang MY, et al. Prophylactic antibiotics for endoscopy-associated peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis patients. *PLOS ONE* 2013; 8:e71532.
25. van Diepen AT, Tomlinson GA, Jassal SV. The association between exit site infection and subsequent peritonitis among peritoneal dialysis patients. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2012; 7:1266–71.
26. van Diepen AT, Jassal SV. A qualitative systematic review of the literature supporting a causal relationship between exit-site infection and subsequent peritonitis in patients with end-stage renal disease treated with peritoneal dialysis. *Perit Dial Int* 2013; 33:604–10.

27. Lloyd A, Tangri N, Shafer LA, Rigatto C, Perl J, Komenda P, et al. The risk of peritonitis after an exit site infection: a time-matched, case-control study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2013; 28:1915–21.
28. Strippoli GF, Tong A, Johnson D, Schena FP, Craig JC. Antimicrobial agents for preventing peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2004; 4:CD004679.
29. Xu G, Tu W, Xu C. Mupirocin for preventing exit-site infection and peritonitis in patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2010; 25:587–92.
30. Lobbedez T, Gardam M, Dedier H, Burdzy D, Chu M, Izatt S, et al. Routine use of mupirocin at the peritoneal catheter exit site and mupirocin resistance: still low after 7 years. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2004; 19:3140–3.
31. Perez-Fontan M, Rosales M, Rodriguez-Carmona A, Falcon TG, Valdes
32. Mupirocin resistance after long-term use for *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization in patients undergoing chronic peritoneal dialysis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002; 39:337–41.
33. Annigeri R, Conly J, Vas S, Dedier H, Prakashan KP, Bargman JM, et al. Emergence of mupirocin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in chronic peritoneal dialysis patients using mupirocin prophylaxis to prevent exit-site infection. *Perit Dial Int* 2001; 21:554–9.
34. Al-Hwiesh AK, Abdul-Rahman IS, Al-Muhanna FA, Al-Sulaiman MH, Al-Jondebi MS, Divino-Filho JC. Prevention of peritoneal dialysis catheter infections in Saudi peritoneal dialysis patients: the emergence of high-level mupirocin resistance. *Int J Artif Organs* 2013; 36:473–83.

35. Prasad KN, Prasad N, Gupta A, Sharma RK, Verma AK, Ayyagari A. Fungal peritonitis in patients on continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis: a single centre Indian experience. *J Infect* 2004; 48:96–101.
36. Wang AY, Yu AW, Li PK, Lam PK, Leung CB, Lai KN, et al. Factors predicting outcome of fungal peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis: analysis of a 9-year experience of fungal peritonitis in a single center. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2000; 36:1183–92.
37. Goldie SJ, Kiernan-Troidle L, Torres C, Gorban-Brennan N, Dunne D, Kligler AS, et al. Fungal peritonitis in a large chronic peritoneal dialysis population: a report of 55 episodes. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1996; 28:86–91.
38. Lo WK, Chan CY, Cheng SW, Poon JF, Chan DT, Cheng IK. A prospective randomized control study of oral nystatin prophylaxis for *Candida* peritonitis complicating continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1996; 28:549–52.
39. Restrepo C, Chacon J, Manjarres G. Fungal peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis patients: successful prophylaxis with fluconazole, as demonstrated by prospective randomized control trial. *Perit Dial Int* 2010; 30:619–25.
40. Rocklin MA, Teitelbaum I. Noninfectious causes of cloudy peritoneal dialysate. *Semin Dial* 2001; 14:37–40.
41. Gould IM, Casewell MW. The laboratory diagnosis of peritonitis during continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *J Hosp Infect* 1986; 7:155–60.
42. Flanigan MJ, Freeman RM, Lim VS. Cellular response to peritonitis among peritoneal dialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1985; 6:420–4.
43. Park SJ, Lee JY, Tak WT, Lee JH. Using reagent strips for rapid diagnosis of peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis patients. *Adv Perit Dial* 2005; 21:69–71.

44. Akman S, Uygun V, Guven AG. Value of the urine strip test in the early diagnosis of bacterial peritonitis. *Pediatr Int* 2005; 47:523–7.
45. Nguyen-Khac E, Cadranel JF, Thevenot T, Nousbaum JB. Review article: The utility of reagent strips in the diagnosis of infected ascites in cirrhotic patients. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2008; 28:282–8.
46. Yoo TH, Chang KH, Ryu DR, Kim JS, Choi HY, Park HC, et al. Usefulness of 23S rRNA amplification by PCR in the detection of bacteria in CAPD peritonitis. *Am J Nephrol* 2006; 26:115–20.
47. Johnson G, Wilks M, Warwick S, Millar MR, Fan SL. Comparative study of diagnosis of PD peritonitis by quantitative polymerase chain reaction for bacterial DNA vs culture methods. *J Nephrol* 2006; 19:45–9.
48. Ro Y, Hamada C, Io H, Hayashi K, Hirahara I, Tomino Y. Rapid, simple, and reliable method for the diagnosis of CAPD peritonitis using the new MMP-9 test kit. *J Clin Lab Anal* 2004; 18:224–30.
49. Ota K, Maruyama H, Iino N, Nakamura G, Shimotori M, Tanabe Y, et al. Rapid detection of causative pathogen of peritonitis using in-situ hybridization in a patient with continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *J Infect Chemother* 2007; 13:273–5.
50. Kim SH, Jeong HS, Kim YH, Song SA, Lee JY, Oh SH, et al. Evaluation of DNA extraction methods and their clinical application for direct detection of causative bacteria in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis culture fluids from patients with peritonitis by using broad-range PCR. *Ann Lab Med* 2012; 32:119–25.
51. Chang YT, Wang HC, Wang MC, Wu AB, Sung JM, Sun HS, et al. Rapid identification of bacteria and *Candida* pathogens in peritoneal dialysis effluent from patients with

- peritoneal dialysis-related peritonitis by use of multilocus PCR coupled with electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *J Clin Microbiol* 2014; 52:1217–9.
52. Ahmadi SH, Neela V, Hamat RA, Goh BL, Syafinaz AN. Rapid detection and identification of pathogens in patients with continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) associated peritonitis by 16s rRNA gene sequencing. *Trop Biomed* 2013; 30:602–7.
53. Lin CY, Roberts GW, Kift-Morgan A, Donovan KL, Topley N, Eberl M. Pathogen-specific local immune fingerprints diagnose bacterial infection in peritoneal dialysis patients. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2013; 24:2002–9.
54. Bieber SD, Anderson AE, Mehrotra R. Diagnostic testing for peritonitis in patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis. *Semin Dial* 2014; 27:602–6.
55. Prasad N, Singh K, Gupta A, Prasad KN. Isolation of bacterial DNA followed by sequencing and differing cytokine response in peritoneal dialysis effluent help in identifying bacteria in culture negative peritonitis. *Nephrology* 2018; 23(2): 148-154.
56. Barretti P, Doles JV, Pinotti DG, El Dib R. Efficacy of antibiotic therapy for peritoneal dialysis-associated peritonitis: a proportional meta-analysis. *BMC Infect Dis* 2014; 14:445.
57. Boyce NW, Wood C, Thomson NM, Kerr P, Atkins RC. Intraperitoneal (IP) vancomycin therapy for CAPD peritonitis—a prospective, randomized comparison of intermittent v continuous therapy. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1988; 12:304–6.
58. Low CL, Bailie GR, Evans A, Eisele G, Venezia RA. Pharmacokinetics of once-daily IP gentamicin in CAPD patients. *Perit Dial Int* 1996; 16:379–84.



59. Low CL, Gopalakrishna K, Lye WC. Pharmacokinetics of once daily intraperitoneal cefazolin in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis patients. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2000; 11:1117–21.
60. Manley HJ, Bailie GR, Frye RF, McGoldrick MD. Intravenous vancomycin pharmacokinetics in automated peritoneal dialysis patients. *Perit Dial Int* 2001; 21:378–85.
61. Manley HJ, Bailie GR, Frye R, McGoldrick MD. Intermittent intravenous piperacillin pharmacokinetics in automated peritoneal dialysis patients. *Perit Dial Int* 2000; 20:686–93.
62. Fish R, Nipah R, Jones C, Finney H, Fan SL. Intraperitoneal vancomycin concentrations during peritoneal dialysis-associated peritonitis: correlation with serum levels. *Perit Dial Int* 2012; 32:332–8.
63. Stevenson S, Tang W, Cho Y, Mudge DW, Hawley CM, Badve SV, Johnson DW. The role of monitoring vancomycin levels in patients with peritoneal dialysis-associated peritonitis. *Perit Dial Int* 2015; 35:222–8.
64. Fish R, Nipah R, Jones C, Finney H, Fan SL. Intraperitoneal vancomycin concentrations during peritoneal dialysis-associated peritonitis: correlation with serum levels. *Perit Dial Int* 2012; 32:332–8.
65. Blunden M, Zeitlin D, Ashman N, Fan SL. Single UK centre experience on the treatment of PD peritonitis—antibiotic levels and outcomes. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2007; 22:1714–9.
66. Mulhern JG, Braden GL, O’Shea MH, Madden RL, Lipkowitz GS, Germain MJ. Trough serum vancomycin levels predict the relapse of Gram-positive peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1995; 25:611–5.

67. Johnson DW. Do antibiotic levels need to be followed in treating peri-toneal dialysis-associated peritonitis? *Semin Dial* 2011; 24:445–6.
68. Leung CB, Szeto CC, Chow KM, Kwan BC, Wang AY, Lui SF, et al. Cefazolin plus ceftazidime versus imipenem/cilastatin monotherapy for treatment of CAPD peritonitis—a randomized controlled trial. *Perit Dial Int* 2004; 24:440–6.
69. Cheng IK, Fang GX, Chau PY, Chan TM, Tong KL, Wong AK, et al. A random-ized prospective comparison of oral levofloxacin plus intraperitoneal (IP) vancomycin and IP netromycin plus IP vancomycin as primary treatment of peritonitis complicating CAPD. *Perit Dial Int* 1998; 18:371–5.
70. Celik A, Cirit M, Tünger A, Akçiçek F, Basçi A. Treatment of CAPD peri-tonitis with oral trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole and intraperitoneal aminoglycoside combination. *Perit Dial Int* 1999; 19:284–5.
71. Lam MF, Tang BS, Tse KC, Chan TM, Lai KN. Ampicillin-sulbactam and ami-kacin used as second-line antibiotics for patients with culture-negative peritonitis. *Perit Dial Int* 2008; 28:540–2.
72. Tosukhowong T, Eiam-Ong S, Thamutok K, Wittayalertpanya S, Na Ayudhya DP. Pharmacokinetics of intraperitoneal cefazolin and gentami-cin in empiric therapy of peritonitis in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis patients. *Perit Dial Int* 2001; 21:587–94.
73. de Paepe M, Lameire N, Belpaire F, Bogaert M. Peritoneal pharmacokinet-ics of gentamicin in man. *Clin Nephrol* 1983; 19:107–9.
74. Lye WC, Wong PL, van der Straaten JC, Leong SO, Lee EJ. A prospective randomized comparison of single versus multidose gentamicin in the treatment of CAPD peritonitis. *Adv Perit Dial* 1995; 11:179–81.

75. Neale TJ, Malani J, Humble M. Netilmicin in the treatment of clinical peritonitis in chronic renal failure patients managed by continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *N Z Med J* 1987; 100:374–7.
76. Bunke CM, Aronoff GR, Brier ME, Sloan RS, Luft FC. Tobramycin kinetics during continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1983; 34:110–6.
77. Bunke CM, Aronoff GR, Luft FC. Pharmacokinetics of common antibiotics used in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1983; 3:114–7.
78. Manley HJ, Bailie GR, Frye R, Hess LD, McGoldrick MD. Pharmacokinetics of intermittent intravenous cefazolin and tobramycin in patients treated with automated peritoneal dialysis. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2000; 11:1310–6.
79. Manley HJ, Bailie GR, Asher RD, Eisele G, Frye RF. Pharmacokinetics of intermittent intraperitoneal cefazolin in continuous ambulatory peri-toneal dialysis patients. *Perit Dial Int* 1999; 19:65–70.
80. Yuen SK, Yuen YP, Fok SP, Yong SP, Tse MW, Chan AY. A novel intraperitoneal cefepime regime based on pharmacokinetic modeling to treat CAPD peritonitis. *Perit Dial Int* 2010; 30:660–1.
81. Li PK, Ip M, Law MC, Szeto CC, Leung CB, Wong TY, et al. Use of intraperitoneal cefepime as monotherapy in treatment of CAPD peritonitis. *Perit Dial Int* 2000; 20:232–4.
82. Leehey DJ, Reid R, Chan AY, Ing TS. Cefoperazone in the treatment of peritonitis in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis patients. *Artif Organs* 1988; 12:482–3.
83. Hodler JE, Galeazzi RL, Frey B, Rudhardt M, Seiler AJ. Pharmacokinetics of cefoperazone in patients undergoing chronic ambulatory peritoneal dialysis: clinical and pathophysiological implications. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1984; 26:609–12.

84. Albin HC, Demotes-Mainard FM, Bouchet JL, Vincon GA, Martin-Dupont C.  
Pharmacokinetics of intravenous and intraperitoneal cefotaxime in chronic ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1985; 38:285–9.
85. Booranalertpaisarn V, Eiam-Ong S, Wittayalertpanya S, Kanjanabutr T, Na Ayudhya DP. Pharmacokinetics of ceftazidime in CAPD-related peritonitis. *Perit Dial Int* 2003; 23:574–9.
86. Grabe DW, Bailie GR, Eisele G, Frye RF. Pharmacokinetics of intermittent intraperitoneal ceftazidime. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1999; 33:111–7.
87. Albin H, Ragnaud JM, Demotes-Mainard F, Vinçon G, Couzineau M, Wone C.  
Pharmacokinetics of intravenous and intraperitoneal ceftriaxone in chronic ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1986; 31:479–83.
88. Bulger RJ, Bennett JV, Boen ST. Intraperitoneal administration of broad-spectrum antibiotics in patients with renal failure. *JAMA* 1965; 194:1198–1202.
89. Bierhoff M, Krutwagen E, van Bommel EF, Verburgh CA. Listeria peritonitis in patients on peritoneal dialysis: two cases and a review of the literature. *Neth J Med* 2011; 69:461–4.
90. Lunde NM, Messana JM, Swartz RD. Unusual causes of peritonitis in patients undergoing continuous peritoneal dialysis with emphasis on *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 1992; 3:1092–7.
91. Ahmad M, Krishnan A, Kelman E, Allen V, Bargman JM. *Listeria monocytogenes* peritonitis in a patient on peritoneal dialysis: a case report and review of the literature. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2008; 40:815–9.

92. Blackwell BG, Leggett JE, Johnson CA, Zimmerman SW, Craig WA. Ampicillin and sulbactam pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD). *Perit Dial Int* 1990; 10:221–6.
93. Zaidenstein R, Weissgarten J, Dishy V, Koren M, Soback S, Gips M, et al. Pharmacokinetics of intraperitoneal piperacillin/tazobactam in patients on peritoneal dialysis with and without pseudomonas peritonitis. *Perit Dial Int* 2000; 20:227–31.
94. Cheng IK, Chan CY, Wong WT, Cheng SW, Ritchie CW, Cheung WC, et al. A randomized prospective comparison of oral versus intraperitoneal cipro-floxacin as the primary treatment of peritonitis complicating continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Perit Dial Int* 1993; 13(Suppl 2):S351–4.
95. Chang MJ, Namgung H, Choi HD, Song YR, Kim SG, Oh JM, et al. Pharmacokinetics of clindamycin in the plasma and dialysate after intraperitoneal administration of clindamycin phosphoester to patients on continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis: an open-label, prospective, single-dose, two-institution study. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol* 2012; 110:504–9.
96. Huen SC, Hall I, Topal J, Mahnensmith RL, Brewster UC, Abu-Alfa AK. Successful use of intraperitoneal daptomycin in the treatment of vancomycin-resistant enterococcus peritonitis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2009; 54:538–41.
97. Cheng IK, Lui SL, Fang GX, Chau PY, Cheng SW, Chiu FH. A randomized prospective comparison of oral versus intraperitoneal ofloxacin as the primary treatment of CAPD peritonitis. *Nephrology (Carlton)* 1997; 3:431–5.
98. Fitzpatrick MA, Esterly JS, Postelnick MJ, Sutton SH. Successful treatment of extensively drug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* peritoneal dialysis peritonitis

- with intraperitoneal polymyxin B and ampicillin-sulbactam. *Ann Pharmacother* 2012; 46:e17.
99. Lynn WA, Clutterbuck E, Want S, Markides V, Lacey S, Rogers TR, et al. Treatment of CAPD-peritonitis due to glycopeptide-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* with quinupristin / dalfopristin. *Lancet* 1994; 344:1025–6.
100. Vlaar PJ, van Hulst M, Benne CA, Janssen WM. Intraperitoneal compared with intravenous meropenem for peritoneal dialysis-related peritonitis. *Perit Dial Int* 2013; 33:708–9.
101. Liakopoulos V, Leivaditis K, Nikitidou O, Divani M, Antoniadis G, Dombros N. Intermittent intraperitoneal dose of teicoplanin in peritoneal dialysis-related peritonitis. *Perit Dial Int* 2012; 32:365–6.
102. Fish R, Nipah R, Jones C, Finney H, Fan SL. Intraperitoneal vancomycin concentrations during peritoneal dialysis-associated peritonitis: correlation with serum levels. *Perit Dial Int* 2012; 32:332–8.
103. Bunke CM, Aronoff GR, Brier ME, Sloan RS, Luft FC. Vancomycin kinetics during continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1983; 34:631–7.
104. Dahl NV, Foote EF, Searson KM, Fein JL, Kapoian T, Steward CA, et al. Pharmacokinetics of intraperitoneal fluconazole during continuous cycling peritoneal dialysis. *Ann Pharmacother* 1998; 32:1284–9.
105. Roberts DM, Kauter G, Ray JE, Gillin AG. Intraperitoneal voriconazole in a patient with *Aspergillus* peritoneal dialysis peritonitis. *Perit Dial Int* 2013; 33:92–3.
106. Koomanachai P, Landersdorfer CB, Chen G, Lee HJ, Jitmuang A, Wasuwattakul S, et al. Pharmacokinetics of colistin methanesulfonate and formed

- colistin in end-stage renal disease patients receiving continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2014; 58:440–6.
107. Cardone KE, Grabe DW, Kulawy RW, Daoui R, Roglieri J, Meola S, et al. Ertapenem pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics during continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012; 56:725–30.
108. DePestel DD, Peloquin CA, Carver PL. Peritoneal dialysis fluid concentrations of linezolid in the treatment of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* peritonitis. *Pharmacotherapy* 2003; 23:1322–6.
109. Song IJ, Seo JW, Kwon YE, Kim YL, Lim TS, Kang EW, et al. Successful treatment of vancomycin-resistant enterococcus peritonitis using linezolid without catheter removal in a peritoneal dialysis patient. *Perit Dial Int* 2014; 34:235–9.
110. Yang JW, Kim YS, Choi SO, Han BG. Successful use of intravenous linezolid in CAPD patient with vancomycin-resistant enterococcal peritonitis. *Perit Dial Int* 2011; 31:209–10.
111. Skalioti C, Tsaganos T, Stamatiadis D, Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Boletis J, Kanellakopoulou K. Pharmacokinetics of moxifloxacin in patients undergoing continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Perit Dial Int* 2009; 29:575–9.
112. Zimmerman SW, Ahrens E, Johnson CA, Craig W, Leggett J, O'Brien M, et al. Randomized controlled trial of prophylactic rifampin for peritoneal dialysis-related infections. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1991; 18:225–31.
113. Blowey DL, Warady BA, McFarland KS. The treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal carriage in pediatric peritoneal dialysis patients. *Adv Perit Dial* 1994; 10:297–9.

114. Wong PN, Lo KY, Tong GM, Chan SF, Lo MW, Mak SK, et al. Treatment of fungal peritonitis with a combination of intravenous amphotericin B and oral flucytosine, and delayed catheter replacement in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Perit Dial Int* 2008; 28:155–62.
115. Madariaga MG, Tenorio A, Proia L. Trichosporon inkin peritonitis treated with caspofungin. *J Clin Microbiol* 2003; 41:5827–9.
116. Fourtounas C, Marangos M, Kalliakmani P, Savidaki E, Goumenos DS, Vlachojannis JG. Treatment of peritoneal dialysis related fungal peritonitis with caspofungin plus amphotericin B combination therapy. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2006; 21:236–7.
117. Chan TM, Chan CY, Cheng SW, Lo WK, Lo CY, Cheng IK. Treatment of fungal peritonitis complicating continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis with oral fluconazole: a series of 21 patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1994; 9:539–42.
118. Sedlacek M, Cotter JG, Suriawinata AA, Kaneko TM, Zuckerman RA, Parsonnet J, et al. Mucormycosis peritonitis: more than 2 years of disease-free follow-up after posaconazole salvage therapy after failure of liposomal amphotericin B. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2008; 51:302–6.
119. Ghebremedhin B, Bluemel A, Neumann KH, Koenig B, Koenig W. Peritonitis due to *Neosartorya pseudofischeri* in an elderly patient undergoing peritoneal dialysis successfully treated with voriconazole. *J Med Microbiol* 2009; 58:678–82.
120. Ulusoy S, Ozkan G, Tosun I, Kaynar K, Köksal I, Türkyilmaz S, et al. Peritonitis due to *Aspergillus nidulans* and its effective treatment with voriconazole: the first case report. *Perit Dial Int* 2011; 31:212–3.



121. Terada M, Ohki E, Yamagishi Y, Nishiyama Y, Satoh K, Uchida K, et al. Fungal peritonitis associated with *Curvularia geniculata* and *Pithomyces* species in a patient with vulvar cancer who was successfully treated with oral voriconazole. *J Antibiot (Tokyo)* 2014; 67:191–3.
122. De Fijter CWH, Jakulj L, Amiri F, Zandvliet A, Franssen E. Intraperitoneal Meropenem for polymicrobial peritoneal dialysis related peritonitis. *Perit Dial Int* 2016; 36(5): 572-73
123. Choi P, Nemati E, Banerjee A, Preston E, Levy J, Brown E. Peritoneal dialysis catheter removal for acute peritonitis: a retrospective analysis of factors associated with catheter removal and prolonged postoperative hospitalization. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2004; 43:103–11.
124. Shukla A, Abreu Z, Bargman JM. Streptococcal PD peritonitis—a 10-year review of one centre’s experience. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2006; 21:3545–9.
125. Yap DY, To KK, Yip TP, Lui SL, Chan TM, Lai KN, et al. *Streptococcus bovis* peritonitis complicating peritoneal dialysis—a review of 10 years’ experience. *Perit Dial Int* 2012; 32:55–9.
126. Szeto CC, Chow KM, Kwan BC, Law MC, Chung KY, Yu S, et al. *Staphylococcus aureus* peritonitis complicates peritoneal dialysis: review of 245 consecutive cases. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2007; 2:245–51.
127. Govindarajulu S, Hawley CM, McDonald SP, Brown FG, Rosman JB, Wiggins KJ, et al. *Staphylococcus aureus* peritonitis in Australian peritoneal dialysis patients: predictors, treatment and outcomes in 503 cases. *Perit Dial Int* 2010; 30:311–9.

128. Siva B, Hawley CM, McDonald SP, Brown FG, Rosman JB, Wiggins KJ, et al. Pseudomonas peritonitis in Australia: predictors, treatment, and outcomes in 191 cases. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2009; 4:957–64.
129. Bunke M, Brier ME, Golper TA. Culture-negative CAPD peritonitis: the Network 9 Study. *Adv Perit Dial* 1994; 10:174–8.
130. Fahim M, Hawley CM, McDonald SP, Brown FG, Rosman JB, Wiggins KJ, et al. Culture-negative peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis patients in Australia: predictors, treatment and outcomes in 435 cases. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2010; 55:690–7.
131. Szeto CC, Wong TY, Chow KM, Leung CB, Li PK. The clinical course of culture-negative peritonitis complicating peritoneal dialysis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2003; 42:567–74.
132. Miles R, Hawley CM, McDonald SP, Brown FG, Rosman JB, Wiggins KJ, et al. Predictors and outcomes of fungal peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis patients. *Kidney Int* 2009; 76:622–8.
133. Matuszkiewicz-Rowinska J. Update on fungal peritonitis and its treatment. *Perit Dial Int* 2009; 29(Suppl 2):S161–5.
134. Nadeau-Fredette AC, Bargman JM. Characteristics and outcomes of fungal peritonitis in a modern North American cohort. *Perit Dial Int* 2015; 35:78–84.
135. Basturk T, Koc Y, Unsal A, Ahbap E, Sakaci T, Yildiz I, et al. Fungal peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis: a 10-year retrospective analysis in a single center. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2012; 16:1696–1700.
136. Chow KM, Chow VC, Hung LC, Wong SM, Szeto CC. Tuberculous peritonitis-associated mortality is high among patients waiting for the results of mycobacterial cultures of ascitic fluid samples. *Clin Infect Dis* 2002; 35:409–13.

Table 1. Nomenclature of guideline statements

| Statement      | Implication for patients  | Implications for clinicians   |
|----------------|---|---|
| “We recommend” | Most people in this situation would want the recommended course of action and only a small proportion would not | Most patients should receive the recommended course of action   |
| “We suggest”   | The majority of people in this situation would want the suggested course of action, but many would not          | Different choices will be appropriate for different patients. Each patient needs help to arrive at a management decision consistent with their values and preferences |

Table 2. Differential diagnosis of cloudy effluent.

|   |
|---|
| Culture positive peritonitis            |
| Culture negative infectious peritonitis |
| Chemical peritonitis                    |
| Calcium channel blockers                |
| Eosinophilia of the peritoneum          |
| Hemoperitoneum                          |
| Malignancy (rare)                       |
| Chylous effluent (rare)                 |
| Specimen taken from 'dry abdomen'       |

Adapted and modified from Li PKT et al. Perit Dial Int 2016; 36(5): 481-508

Table 3. Intraperitoneal Antibiotic Dosing Recommendations for Treatment of Peritonitis

|                         | Intermittent<br>(1 exchange daily) | Continuous (all exchanges)         |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <b>Aminoglycosides</b>  |                                    |                                    |
| Amikacin                | 2 mg/kg daily                      | LD 25 mg/L, MD 12 mg/L             |
| Gentamicin              | 0.6 mg/kg daily                    | LD 8 mg/L, MD 4 mg/L               |
| Netilmicin              | 0.6 mg/kg daily                    | MD 10 mg/L                         |
| Tobramycin              | 0.6 mg/kg daily                    | LD 3 mg/kg, MD 0.3 mg/kg           |
| <b>Cephalosporins</b>   |                                    |                                    |
| Cefazolin               | 15–20 mg/kg daily                  | LD 500 mg/L, MD 125 mg/L           |
| Cefepime                | 1,000 mg daily                     | LD 250–500 mg/L, MD 100–125 mg/L   |
| Cefoperazone            | no data                            | LD 500 mg/L, MD 62.5–125 mg/L      |
| Cefotaxime              | 500–1,000 mg daily                 | no data                            |
| Ceftazidime             | 1,000–1,500 mg daily               | LD 500 mg/L, MD 125 mg/L           |
| Ceftriaxone             | 1,000 mg daily                     | no data                            |
| <b>Penicillins</b>      |                                    |                                    |
| Penicillin G            | no data                            | LD 50,000 unit/L, MD 25,000 unit/L |
| Amoxicillin             | no data                            | MD 150 mg/L                        |
| Ampicillin              | no data                            | MD 125 mg/L                        |
| Ampicillin/Sulbactam    | 2 gm/1 gm every 12 hours           | LD 750–100 mg/L, MD 100 mg/L       |
| Piperacillin/Tazobactam | no data                            | LD 4 gm/0.5 gm, MD 1 gm/0.125 gm   |
| <b>Others</b>           |                                    |                                    |
| Aztreonam               | 2 gm daily                         | LD 1,000 mg/L, MD 250 mg/L         |
| Ciprofloxacin           | no data                            | MD 50 mg/L                         |
| Clindamycin             | no data                            | MD 600 mg/bag                      |
| Daptomycin              | no data                            | LD 100 mg/L, MD 20 mg/L            |
| Imipenem/Cilastatin     | 500 mg in alternate exchange       | LD 250 mg/L, MD 50 mg/L            |
| Ofloxacin               | no data                            | LD 200 mg, MD 25 mg/L              |
| Polymyxin B             | no data                            | MD 300,000 unit (30 mg)/bag        |
| Meropenem               | 1 gm daily                         | 125 mg/L (case report)             |
| Teicoplanin             | 15 mg/kg every 5 days              | LD 400 mg/bag, MD 20 mg/bag        |

|                    |  |                               |
|--------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Vancomycin         | 15–30 mg/kg every 5–7 days (Supplement doses for APD patients) | LD 30 mg/kg, MD 1.5 mg/kg/bag |
| <b>Antifungals</b> |  |                               |
| Fluconazole        | IP 200 mg every 24 to 48 hours                                 | no data                       |
| Voriconazole       | IP 2.5 mg/kg daily   | no data                       |

LD = loading dose in mg; MD = maintenance dose in mg; IP = intraperitoneal; APD =

automated peritoneal dialysis. Adapted and modified from Li PKT et al. Perit Dial Int 2016;

36(5): 481-508.

Table 4. Systemic Antibiotic Dosing Recommendations for Treatment of Peritonitis

| Drug                              | Dosing   |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Anti-bacterials</b>            |  |
| Ciprofloxacin                     | Oral 250 mg BD (500 mg BD, if residual renal function > 5 ml/min)  |
| Colistin                          | IV 300 mg loading, then 150–200 mg daily (expressed as Colistin Base Activity, CBA)                                  |
| Ertapenem                         | IV 500 mg daily  |
| Levofloxacin                      | Oral 250 mg daily  |
| Linezolid                         | IV or oral 600 mg BD   |
| Moxifloxacin                      | Oral 400 mg daily  |
| Rifampicin                        | 450 mg daily for BW <50 kg;<br>600 mg daily for BW ≥50 kg  |
| Trimethoprim/<br>Sulfamethoxazole | Oral 160 mg / 800 mg BD  |
| <b>Anti-fungals</b>               |  |
| Amphotericin                      | IV test dose 1 mg; starting dose 0.1 mg/kg/day over 6 hours; increased to target dose 0.75–1.0 mg/kg/day over 4 days |
| Caspofungin                       | IV 70 mg loading, then 50 mg daily   |
| Fluconazole                       | Oral 200 mg loading, then 50–100 mg daily  |
| Posaconazole                      | IV 400 mg every 12 hours   |
| Voriconazole                      | Oral 200 mg every 12 hours   |

BD = twice a day; IV = intravenous; BW = body weight. Adapted and modified from Li PKT et

al. *Perit Dial Int* 2016; 36(5): 481-508.